

23/00074/FULHH – Netley House, Gravel Hill

No objections

23/00089/FUL – The Platform, The Old Railway Station, Bratch Lane

No objections

23/00096/TTREE – Old Bush, High Street, Wombourne

No objections

23/00122/FULHH – 15 Kirkstone Crescent

No objections

23/00117/FULHH – 29 Common Road

No objections

23/00143/FULHH – 118 Wombourne Park

No objections

23/00043/FULHH – 10 Griffiths Drive

No objections

23/00104/FULHH – 53 Strathmore Crescent

No objections

23/00087/ADV – Lamp columns Stourbridge Road

We object to this proposal in the strongest possible terms.

This is a busy and potentially dangerous stretch of road. While Highways suggest there have been no serious accidents there in recent years, we are all too aware of past fatalities, as well as incidents of reckless driving on this stretch of road. This gives rise, especially approaching Wombourne island, to regular accidents. We would assume that Highways have probably obtained information from police reports, but we would suggest they look

into insurance claims data on this stretch of road, which would give a greatly different figure.

It is a fast road, and the images included in the application suggest these tend to be used in lower-speed areas such as town centres or retail parks, etc. We are concerned these would actually tempt drivers to pay less attention to the road on what is a dangerous bend. The layout and speed limit was altered here for good reason.

In such regard, while a fast road, it is also a residential road (see the properties along the inside of the bend), and we would consider this inappropriate outside private residences. We would also question whether residents here have been suitably informed, as nobody seems to be aware of this application.

23/00173/FULHH – 137 Wombourne Park

No objections

23/00170/ADV – Wombourne Leisure Centre

No objections

23/00017/FULHH – Summer Bees, 5 Old Vicarage Close

No objections

23/00077/OUT – Clee View, Trysull Road

We object to this proposal based on GB1 - inappropriate development in the greenbelt. This proposed development is well outside the development boundary and lacks appropriate infrastructure including footpaths, the road itself is narrow and twisting, and we suspect there would be difficulties accessing utilities. This application is in danger of furthering coalescence with the neighbouring village of Trysull which certainly is not desirable. We need to maintain a defensible boundary. Furthermore, South Staffs currently boasts a 7-year land supply for housing. We are not in need of additional land for housing and so this does not meet special circumstances. As this effectively borders Trysull & Seisdon Parish, we would request you consult them too.

