22/00650/FUL - 91 Planks Lane

A substantial extension, but no particular objections so long as garden space (length, depth) remains acceptable, and Highways express no concerns regarding visibility at the junction. Planning guidelines require 3 parking spaces for a four bed house, and sufficient frontage space appears to exist.

22/00666/FUL – Windrush, Poolhouse Road

We have no objection with the proposal for a wall, however, we are concerned about the 2.2m height of the proposed wall. Front facing walls should be no more than 1m in height ordinarily. We are concerned by the potential loss of hedgerow. If general principle of removal to replace with a wall is acceptable, we would want to see a lower wall and inclusion of planting to soften and go some way to replace habitat.

22/00678/FUL - 4 Rookery Rise

No objections, however, we are concerned that the front garage extension protrudes too prominently and would ask the Planning Officer to look into this further.

22/00604/FUL - 96 Station Road

No objections

22/00620/FUL - 22 Millers Vale

No objections

22/00683/LBC – 15 Mansion Court

This is a technical issue for Planning Officers to ensure this is acceptable in terms of heritage of the building and safety. What is proposed is unlikely to be visible so there are no objections in terms of visual impact.

22/00552/FUL - 25 Showell Lane

This development is not in keeping with the street scene, it is too shear in its size and looks too urban.

22/00514/FUL – Rookery Road

Whilst this is a substantial extension, subject to this being judged 'in keeping' with the heritage of the building, we have no objections.

22/00710/FUL – Fosse House, Stourbridge Road

No objections.

22/00636/ROOF – 91 Showell Lane

No objections

22/00740/FUL – 1 Wodehouse Close

This is a very considerable extension of the original property, therefore we would be interested to know officers' opinion as to how 'in keeping' they consider it, though the extensions are clearly subservient to the original building.

Our concern lays with the potential for the pitched roof over what was originally the garage as water will wash towards the very narrow gap between separating it from the neighbouring property. Excessive runoff (rainfall is tending to get heavier) or blockage in the guttering could result in damp issues for both properties.

The extension on the Stoneybrook side is considerable and encroaches closely to the road. We would be interested in officers' opinion on how acceptable this is and if they feel it detracts from the street scene.

22/00741/FUL – 12 Sandringham Road

No objections